

## Tracking User Behavior with Google Analytics Events on an Academic Library Web Site

Amy Vecchione, Deana Brown, Elizabeth Allen & Amanda Baschnagel

To cite this article: Amy Vecchione, Deana Brown, Elizabeth Allen & Amanda Baschnagel (2016): Tracking User Behavior with Google Analytics Events on an Academic Library Web Site, Journal of Web Librarianship, DOI: [10.1080/19322909.2016.1175330](https://doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2016.1175330)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2016.1175330>



Published online: 16 May 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 106



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

ARTICLE

## Tracking User Behavior with Google Analytics Events on an Academic Library Web Site

Amy Vecchione, Deana Brown, Elizabeth Allen, and Amanda Baschnagel

Albertsons Library, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho, USA

### ABSTRACT

The primary purpose of an academic library Web site is to serve as a portal to library-acquired content. Navigational design of a library Web site affects the user's ability to find and access content. At Albertsons Library, the goal of the navigational design of the Web site is to mimic user behavior on the Web site to help them access information and articles from over 300 different library vendors. Coordinating with different vendors makes tracking the navigational flow of user behavior difficult with the tool Google Analytics. Using the events feature in Google Analytics, the team responsible for Web design was able to track user flow, and was able to quantify how many users were actual "drop-offs" versus those that were clicks into library resources. Decisions made after acquiring these data resulted in a Web site with a 10 percent or less bounce rate, and decreased the number of clicks required for users accessing the library's content.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 6 November 2015  
Accepted 4 April 2016

### KEYWORDS

academic library; drop-offs; Google Analytics; user behavior; user experience; user flow; web analytics; web design

### Introduction

Like most academic library Web sites, Albertsons Library's main Web site (<http://library.boisestate.edu>) serves as a portal to authoritative digital content ranging from streaming video to e-books to databases with full-text content to primary source materials, and more. Serving over 30,000 students, staff, faculty, and the general public, Albertsons Library's digital content is managed centrally by the Web and Emerging Technologies Unit. Staff and faculty in this unit use technological solutions and human-centered design strategies to facilitate access to the library's range of digital content areas.

To increase the ease of use of library Web sites, the navigational design of these Web sites should mimic or support user behavior based on the navigational pathways that users follow. The Web and Emerging Technologies Unit's primary goal for the library Web site is to create a user-centered library Web site that allows users to quickly and efficiently complete their task. When the team began applying a user-experience process to the Web site, there were no known user pathways. The links

---

**CONTACT** Amy Vecchione ✉ [amyvecchione@boisestate.edu](mailto:amyvecchione@boisestate.edu) 📍 Albertsons Library, Boise State University, 1910 University Drive, Boise, ID 83725, USA.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in the article can be found online at [www.tandfonline.com/WJWL](http://www.tandfonline.com/WJWL).

© 2016 Amy Vecchione, Deana Brown, Elizabeth Allen, and Amanda Baschnagel

that were listed on the front page of the Web site were chosen primarily by librarians. To save users' time, the team wanted to seek out the user pathways and the primary user tasks to create a Web site that will easily and quickly fulfill their tasks.

Librarians and other researchers can gain insights into user behavior by measuring those pathways to determine which content is the most popular content, and then improve ease of navigation to that content. The Web team at Albertsons Library define "popular content" to be anything that is accessed the most frequently. By counting all clicks to access resources, percentages of content access emerge. Links that are clicked on less than 0.05 percent are considered "not popular content," whereas anything over 10 percent is considered popular. This ranking will likely vary from library to library because of the diversity in user needs. Identifying popular content helps establish the common, preferred pathways used by the largest number of users.

To gain a better understanding of our users' navigational pathways, the library implemented Google Analytics in 2007. This implementation enabled the library to identify, monitor, and track pathways. Viewing the user flow in Google Analytics is useful because it displays graphically a user path, "from the source, through the various pages, and where along their paths they exited" (Google n.d.a). The flow data, however, do not indicate where off-campus users navigate to when they leave the library's main Web site. If they go to a domain that is not managed by the library, the library's Google Analytics dashboard is not set up to automatically capture that data.

For example, when users leave our primary Web site and search specific database platforms, Google Analytics does not track searchers' behavior once they have left the library's main Web site. In addition, if users leave the main library Web site to search for a book, they utilize the discovery layer, WorldCat (<http://boisestate.worldcat.org>). This discovery layer is not tracked by the library's instance of Google Analytics but by another analytics software. This search appears as a drop-off, which indicates "where users left the flow" (Google n.d.b). The Google Analytics user flow showed the majority of our library Web site users as drop-offs. For some periods of time, the number of drop-offs rose to more than 90 percent of the users. The drop-off data may be misleading when library users are accessing the library's digital resources or subscription databases that are stored in a separate domain.

The team set out to design a data-driven Web site and to make decisions based on data. By tracking user behavior using event tracking (Google n.d.c), the team made decisions that resulted in an improved user experience by moving the most popular resources to the front page and reducing the number of clicks needed to get to those resources. Additionally, the Web team learned which vendor sites users were accessing the most.

The goal of this research was to identify drop-offs from the library Web site, determine how many were true drop-offs, identify popular content, track how users navigate to the most popular content, and then make it easier for users to access popular content. This article will describe our methods and findings.

## Literature review

Current literature suggests several ways of using Google Analytics and how to create a larger dashboard with which to monitor some alternative domains beyond the library's main portal; however, little research has investigated how to track user pathways on a library Web site using Google Analytics. Existing research regarding key performance indicators in Google Analytics are not analyzed through the lens of user experience, nor is there research on how the use of Google Analytics impacts access to library resources. Instead, the primary articles on using key performance indicators in Google Analytics are oriented toward e-commerce sites to track transaction time and clicks to purchases to serve commercial entities (Fagan 2014).

Many articles regarding the assessment of library Web sites consist of surveys and the use of Google Analytics to determine the top pages of content. Understanding user needs and user behavior on library Web sites is crucial to designing a successful library Web site. According to a team at Texas Tech University, "properly assessing the needs and behavior of academic library patrons is essential to the design process of library Web sites" (Barba et al. 2013, 392). Turner (2010) described library Web site key performance indicators as based on library Web site goals, user behavior, and user actions or tasks. In addition, Turner also established that Google Analytics can take micro measurements, such as the number of times a specific resource is clicked on.

Because library Web sites provide access to many of the library's services (Yang and Perrin 2014), tracking the performance and understanding user flow are critical to the success of those services. Yang and Perrin (2014, 405) wrote, "Measuring the performance of a library Web site, therefore, becomes a key factor in determining the relative success or failure of library service." Fagan (2014) suggested adopting specific key performance indicators to acquire information about human behavior on library Web sites.

Many libraries have used Google Analytics to assist in the redesign of their Web site. For example, Wei Fang, a digital services librarian, used Google Analytics for redesigning the sites at Rutgers-Newark Law Library (Fang 2007). Fang's goal was to analyze user behavior on the Web site. Fang and his team analyzed usage statistics before and after the library redesign to determine if the redesign was effective.

Some libraries have utilized the events feature in Google Analytics to track events and key performance indicators (KPIs). The standard KPIs in Google Analytics "focus on corporate and e-commerce sites where KPIs are relatively easy to identify" (Loftus 2012, 47). Since most KPIs in Google Analytics are geared toward commerce, it is important to establish separate indicators to evaluate how users acquire information that meets their needs and tasks. Libraries have little face time with remote users, so collecting usage data is the primary way to understand their behavior on the Web site. Reducing the number of clicks to a resource helps keep users on the site. As Buenadicha Mateos and her collaborators noted, "If users can access the information they seek quickly (in a few number of clicks), their satisfaction will be

increased and therefore the site will probably rise in the number of visits” (2001, 229).

The way event tracking works is that event tracking labels are hand coded for each link, which “can be time consuming and difficult to manage over the long term” (Loftus 2012, 52). However, the time and effort put into the hand coding is worth it in order to create a dynamic Web environment. Using the events feature in Google Analytics is useful because knowledge about the events creates an analytics environment where “iterative changes can be tracked in real-time with minimal effort” (Loftus 2012, 55). Iterative changes are necessary in an ever-evolving library system where services are modified regularly, and therefore Web sites must be reorganized or redesigned.

Events features can help make sense of the bounce rate, which is a metric often used to make decisions about library Web sites. Bounce rate and drop-offs both indicate the same behavior and are two terms that are defined somewhat similarly with a few small differences. A bounce rate is the calculated percentage of those who engage in the behavior and drop-off of the site. Bounce rates can be different from drop-offs as a user can drop-off after looking at several pages, but a bounce rate is primarily measured after the user looks at one page and subsequently drops off. Google Analytics automatically measures the bounce rate of any Web site, but for libraries this is a difficult metric to understand in context because library Web sites also serve as portals to other sites. High bounce rates can be attributed to a variety of factors. Betty (2009, 77) noted the following possible reasons for high bounce rates: “The content on the landing page does not seem valuable or useful to your users, the content may not be clear enough to the user, or the menus pointing to the content are misleading.” Betty suggested that user testing can be used to evaluate and understand these KPIs. Events features and user testing both can be used to understand the bounce rates and what they indicate. For example, a high bounce rate on a library Web site may actually mean that more users are getting to the library resources they want to use.

To better understand user flow, librarians at DePaul University combined different Google Analytics dashboards into one single dashboard (Hess 2012). This methodology allows data reviewers to see multiple domains in a single dashboard and to view user flow among the trackable domains for a library. Hess and his team applied code that forced Google Analytics to view different domains as being the same. This enabled them to track use data fluidly in one location via page views. This forcing of Google Analytics resulted in all Web domains being able to be viewed as one domain. The subsequent data dashboard is referred to as “über analytics.” For example, a single dashboard would cover a specific domain, such as library.boisestate.edu, but the über dashboard combines library.boisestate.edu, guides.boisestate.edu, catalog.boisestate.edu, and more.

This review of the literature demonstrated that event tracking has not been thoroughly researched in libraries. The goals of this research project were to investigate the feasibility of event tracking to increase retention, decrease bounce rate, and measure actual drop-offs from the library Web site. This article presents a case study

where the implementation of events tracking led to improvements made by using this technology and analyzing the data. Through identifying and investigating these methods, the researchers found a useful and successful methodology that any library can use on their Web site to track user flow effectively.

## Methodology

In October 2012, the team implemented the “über dashboard” developed at DePaul University to unify Web analytics across multiple platforms (Hess 2012). The primary question that the Web team set out to address was whether or not all the drop-offs were users exiting the site, or merely users clicking on one of the databases to which the library subscribes. The primary goal was to determine user flow and the most popular content based on the number of user clicks. To solve the problem of being unable to track user behavior to these locations outside of the library’s main portal, the Web team developed a method utilizing Google Analytics’ event tracking. Event tracking is a method for measuring any type of action that takes place on a Web site. Event tracking is a way to count, measure, and indicate how many times each specific event occurred (Google n.d.). The Web team used event tracking to measure user behavior beyond the main portal of the library Web site and to show which digital platforms users navigate to from the library’s main portal. Once the data were analyzed and the most popular content was identified, the Web team then made those resources more prominent on the Web site.

To create an über dashboard in Google Analytics, our library Web team first identified all the domains to be included on the dashboard. After these domains were identified, the tracking code was changed, and all the domains appeared together in one dashboard. This solution gave us some idea about which pages were most viewed and some sense of users’ flow through our Web site, but not much of a sense of how they moved from one domain to the next. When users start on one of the library’s domains and move to another one that the library also manages, we have a good understanding of their navigational path. However, most of our users often venture directly into subscription services and domains that the library does not manage independently on our own servers, such as Academic Search Premier and WorldCat Local. This lack of direct control helped explain why this initial solution was not very useful for us. In addition, this solution did not address the drop-offs research question we set out to answer.

Next, the Web team investigated event tracking to see if this method could give us a better picture of our users’ movements through the library’s site. Event tracking is a binary trigger that allows Google Analytics to record when a certain event occurs on a Web site. Google Analytics then maintains a record of that event. For example, when an individual clicks on any link from the library’s main Web site, that click is recorded in Google Analytics’ event tracking. The team set up a series of labels that coordinated to each event. Each label wrapped around each link on the main site, including links to every service provided, the About page, the search box, whether or not search was clicked on or not, each database, and the required links in the Boise

State University header and footer. Essentially, the Web team wrapped a tracker label around every link on the first page users land on when they enter the Web site.

This solution allows the team to clearly see the data in Google Analytics and determine where users are going from the library's main Web site. The data allow Web designers to see which resources are most popular, which domains are most used from the library Web site, and determine whether or not the drop-offs were users exiting the site or merely using one of the library's subscription databases.

The Web team has decided to collect the data in an ongoing process; with each new link added, an event tracking label is wrapped around it. Content that is not clicked on more than 0.05 percent is relegated to sub-pages and sub-menus, and more popular content is pulled out. The most popular content gets added to a tabbed search box in the main part of the screen, allowing users to click through to the content they desire without leaving the main portal of the site.

Although the team collects these data in an ongoing manner, decisions are made in an iterative fashion. The data are useful in meetings where the library leadership team makes decisions about products, and the data have been used to help campus Web managers with the user experience design of the header and footer. The Web team reviews the data at the end of each semester, and data are collected and reported every semester to library administration. The Web team has found that the data allow for conversations and decisions to be made about the Web site in a positive, deliberate, and user-focused way.


The overall process for the implementation of event tracking is as follows:

- (1) Create a naming convention for event labels,
- (2) Place an event label on each link the team wants to track,
- (3) Collect data for a set period of time,
- (4) Analyze data at the end of each semester,
- (5) Revise site based on the data collected, and
- (6) Reduce number of clicks to most-used content.

Every link on the library's main Web site was hand coded to include an event label. The possible links add up to more than 100. These include, but are not limited to, the following example labels:

- Unload: Individuals leave the site (a real drop-off);
- WorldCat Local All Search: Indicates when users hit the button to search after typing in a string of words;
- WorldCat Local Articles: Users click on the button to search articles;
- Articles: Users click on the Articles & Databases A-Z link, which takes users to the list of databases in alphabetical order; and
- Academic Search Premier: Individuals click on the link directly to the database Academic Search Premier.

## Users Flow



**Figure 1.** Users' flow from Albertsons Library's Google Analytics dashboard demonstrating drop-offs for spring semester 2012.

When link reorganizing occurred, the Web team began adding codes to include the location of the link, such as the code "LNav" for the left-side navigational menu, and dates as to when the link was added, such as "LNav/Academic Search Premier/May 2013."

## Results

The event tracking data showed us that library Web site users strongly preferred only a few tools and links on the library Web site. These data gave the team strong arguments for cleaning up and revising the site in order to match user need and to meet user requirements. We created tabbed search boxes to clean up the list of links, and placed the highest accessed content front and center on the main library Web



**Table 1.** Event tracking data and percentages of clicks for the spring 2013 semester.

Event label	Total events	Percentage of all clicks
Unload	372,001	67.09
WorldCat Local All Search	26,710	4.82
WorldCat Local Articles	23,487	4.24
Articles	22,789	4.11
Academic Search Premier	14,061	2.54
WorldCat Local Books	13,151	2.37
WorldCat Local Articles Search	10,367	1.87
Journal Titles	7,669	1.38
WorldCat Local Books Search	6,909	1.25
Subject Guides	5,508	0.99
WorldCat Local Advanced Search	3,651	0.65
Voyager Catalog	3,226	0.58
Off Campus Sign On	2,953	0.53
JSTOR	2,839	0.51
Web of Science	2,368	0.43

site. Users no longer must search and hunt for the right link. The data regarding what was popular content for Albertsons Library are described below, but may vary for other libraries depending on the specific user needs of a library's scope and audience. For example, medical libraries will likely want to have PubMed or related databases front and center, rather than Academic Search Premier or a discovery layer.

Prior to the Web team's implementation of event tracking, between 85 percent and 93 percent of clicks on the library Web site were considered drop-offs. As illustrated in [Figure 1](#), the Google Analytics dashboard does not account for how users accessed library resources such as databases, merely that they left the site <http://library.boisestate.edu/>. The drop-offs data suggest that 92.8 percent of the library's Web site users left the Web site immediately after their arrival to the site. The Web team did not believe that this was the case; rather, we believed users were going to library subscription-based resources.

After the implementation of event tracking, the team collected data for the spring 2013 semester, analyzed the data regularly throughout the semester, presented recommendations to library stakeholders, and finally made Web changes in the summer. The data from the 2013 spring semester established a baseline of what links were being used on the library Web site. The Web team recognized there were so many competing links on the library's Web site that users may have been conflicted about what to choose. As illustrated in [Table 1](#), the data demonstrate that the primary content being accessed was the discovery layer, WorldCat Local All Search, possibly due to the central placement of the search box, which is the very first box in the left, upper-middle of the layout of the Web site, but clicks on the discovery layer accounted for a very small amount of the overall traffic at 4.82 percent. The unload data skew the results a bit since 67 percent of all users left the site immediately after landing on the page. It is important to consider the number of unloads in the analysis, however, because after the redesign this number dropped considerably.

A significant realization was that the most-accessed database from the library's Web site was Academic Search Premier, which was the fourth most-accessed content on the site. Based on these data, the Web team made the decision to pull out this

database and promote it for easy access, especially since it is the primary teaching tool database for many campus information literacy instruction sessions. The team also noticed there was a discrepancy between the numbers of individuals clicking on a WorldCat local tabbed search screen compared to the number clicking the search icon itself. This finding meant that more users were clicking on the box that allowed them to search than were actually searching. The team hypothesized this clicking behavior was due to some confusion about what they were searching.

After many discussions, the team decided that if a link is clicked on less than 0.05 percent of the time, then it must not be on the front page and can be in the left-side navigation menu or on sub-pages. The number of 0.05 percent was determined because there were so many links on the front page, and this percentage accounted for the bottom majority of the library's links. There were natural breaks in the data that helped the Web team make this decision. The Web team hopes to raise the bar on this KPI to a higher percentage point value so that minimal content is available on the library's main site.

The Web team was relieved to find that the actual number of drop-offs was not the roughly 90 percent that the traditional implementation of Google Analytics suggested, but instead was 67 percent. Because our library's computer lab browsers default to the library Web site, this was not a surprising observation. The Web team sought to make improvements through promoting popular content to reduce the number of actual drop-offs, increase retention on the site, and reduce the number of links on the library's main Web site. Improving the actual drop-off rate and increasing the percentage of all clicks to library resources became KPIs for the team.

After placing the most popular content in tabbed search boxes in the center of the library's homepage, the number of clicks to Academic Search Premier and WorldCat Local All Search went up. In spring 2013, users were not accessing the majority of the databases, but by repositioning links, access to the databases list increased in fall 2013 and made up 6.99 percent of all clicks on the site (see [Table 2](#)). The number of unloads or actual drop-offs was reduced by 30 percentage points from 67 percent of users leaving the site immediately to only 37.97 percent of users leaving the site immediately.

**Table 2.** Event tracking data from the fall 2013 semester.

Event label	Total events	Percentage of all clicks
Unload	426,751	37.97
WorldCat Local All Tab	405,158	35.86
Databases Tab: A–Z List Tab	78,602	6.99
WorldCat Local Articles Tab	32,762	2.91
WorldCat Local All Search	27,298	2.43
Journals Tab	21,836	1.94
WorldCat Local Books Tab	15,348	1.37
Left Nav Find Articles Databases	13,938	1.24
Guides Tab	12,363	1.10
WorldCat Local Article Search	9,755	0.87
eBooks Tab	7,222	0.64
WorldCat Local Books Search	5,444	0.48
Videos & Music Tab	5,032	0.45

**Table 3.** Bounce rate data from Google analytics for library.boisestate.edu from fall 2012 to fall 2014 by semester.

Date range	Bounce rate
Fall 2012: August 27–December 20, 2012	65.21%
Spring 2013: January 22–May 16, 2013	18.37%
Summer 2013: May 20–August 18, 2013	14.28%
Fall 2013: August 26–December 19, 2013	11.11%
Spring 2014: January 21–May 16, 2014	10.34%
Summer 2014: May 19–August 17, 2014	8.08%
Fall 2014: August 25–December 18, 2014	4.56%

The bounce rate from previous semesters was as high as 65 percent in fall 2012, but after implementing the tabbed search boxes, this bounce rate was reduced to 12 percent for the overall site and to 4 percent for the index, or main site. The bounce rate for fall semester 2013 was 11.11 percent compared to fall semester 2012 when it was 65.21 percent (see [Table 3](#)).

The library Web site's bounce rate was reduced significantly in the spring of 2013 (see [Table 3](#)). This decrease can be attributed to the implementation of improved processes and workflows based on data from the events feature. Analysis of the data showed pages that experienced no events or traffic, and as a result these pages were identified for deletion. Additionally, multiple pages with high traffic were identified and combined into a tabbed search box that was featured on the library's homepage. These two steps were key in the overall success of the page and the lowered bounce rate.

The Web team considered this reduction in bounce rates a success for users because this metric indicated they were finding what they needed. However, without user testing, data paint only one part of the picture, so the Web team will conduct user testing in future semesters to determine if this hypothesis was correct. The number of users clicking on specific databases doubled in some cases. The Web retention rate increased from the 2013 spring semester to the 2013 fall semester by 269 percent, meaning that more than twice as many individuals were staying on the site. The site retains 63 percent of all users (this figure was consistent during 2015) as opposed to 35 percent of all traffic in spring 2013. More users clicked on links on the site after the number of links was reduced. During the spring 2013 semester, there were a total of 177,521 links clicked, and in the fall 2013 semester, the number of links clicked rose to 265,390.

Of all clicks tracked on the library Web site, the Web team found that most links were clicked on less than 1 percent of the time. The Web team wanted to drive up the traffic and reduce the time to some of the library databases and library resources. The library's Web site screenshot in [Figure 2](#) illustrates that the Web site in spring 2013 was merely a lists of links that were not ranked or ordered based on user needs and tasks. By driving users to the popular content, including Academic Search Premier, searching articles, searching books, and searching our discovery layer, users could more quickly locate the resources they needed for their work, rather than hunting through the list to decide which resources they needed.





Figure 2. Albertsons Library's Web site, spring semester 2013.

## Discussion

The event tracking data showed us that library Web site users strongly preferred only a few tools and links on the library Web site, and barely used others. These data gave the team strong arguments for cleaning up and revising the site in order to match users' needs. This research and protocol implementation expands the way Google Analytics can be used to track data on a library site. Through a small amount of time and skill investment, library staff can learn how to utilize Google Analytics to their benefit, as demonstrated in previous research as well. As identified in the literature review, KPIs for library Web sites differ somewhat from commerce instances of KPIs, and therefore Google Analytics requires additional coding with events to better understand how Web sites are being used. This research allows library staff to look at several options for identifying KPIs and gives library teams an additional methodology to consider applying.

Library Web sites are primarily portals to subscription-based content; traditional Web analytics are geared toward e-commerce and fail to readily provide KPIs to assess users' navigational flow to resources. Using event tracking, Albertsons Library's Web team was able to clean up the library Web site and make data-driven decisions about Web content, which is often a political decision. By focusing on an iterative process over the course of two semesters, revising popular content, improving access to the popular content, and removing unpopular content, the library's



**Figure 3.** Albertsons Library's Web site, fall semester 2013.

Web site is seeing unprecedented retention rates and significantly improved drop-off rates. Furthermore, the library is now aware of how many drop-offs are due to users truly leaving the library Web site versus going to a subscription-based content link from the library's main Web site.

The Web team made many changes on the library Web site as a result of the data gathered between the 2013 spring and fall semesters. The Web team first moved the popular content into a tabbed search box located in the center of the library's homepage. Library hours were relocated to the top left corner of the site. The list of links was relocated to a left-side navigational menu with top headings and menus. Many duplicative links were driving users to similar content, so the Web team removed the duplication. The 2013 fall semester Web site appears in [Figure 3](#). This Web site represents a significant change from the previous semester, and the data collected during fall 2013 show that the redesign facilitated user access to the most popular content.

Although this Web site design was not perfect, it was a significant improvement, and this workflow allows for the Web team to continually iterate based on user flow data, for continual improvement each semester. For example, from fall 2013 to spring 2014, one major revision was changing the article search scope in the tabbed

search from searching the discovery layer to searching Academic Search Premier. This adjustment reduced the number of clicks to the content users want, which also drove users to desired content faster. This decision was made to improve access for users who have limited time to access the resources they need, but also to clarify what content users might need for their courses and work. Because the display of a long list of links was confusing in the previous iteration of the site, Albertsons Library's Web site now more simply showcases the content that users need with fewer overall link choices.

This process and workflow can work to track user flow for any library Web site, since most library Web sites are portals to subscription-based content. Though event tracking has been used in other instances, such as mouse hover overs, event tracking can be used to measure access to specific databases and identify preferred user pathways (Barba et al. 2013). Reviewing these data on a regular basis, as identified by the user needs of each library's community, the Web team can continue to make iterative improvements.

Albertsons Library's Web team has identified future steps to help further improve the library Web site. The next steps are to continue to identify the users' tasks as they evolve over time, develop personas for Web site use, conduct user testing to more fully understand the event tracking data, and collect more event tracking data to verify that the changes made have been effective. The team also plans to add more event tracking labels to evaluate which databases are most used as there is not usage data for every database the library subscribes to, and it can be difficult to compare use statistics uniformly across platforms.

## Conclusion

Event tracking is a great way to manage a library Web site that connects users to hundreds of different vendors and to the library's authoritative digital subscription content. In addition to collecting data for navigation to this content through the Web site, our acquisitions staff are using these data to evaluate which databases and digital content must be kept.

The Web team determined that events tracking of user pathways led to better decisions that improved the usability and functionality of the Web site. The team used the data to make a slight redesign, removing a great number of links from the library's main Web site. Some decisions the team made after reviewing these data included eliminating a mobile site in preference for a responsive site, rearranging links and search boxes on the site based on the popularity of the content, reducing the number of links listed on the site in favor of using a left-side navigation menu, establishing a tabbed search box, and improving access to high-use items. Any library can use this methodology to determine which content users prefer, and which pathways they follow, in order to prioritize access to their most popular content.

The authors conclude that using event tracking in Google Analytics will help library Web teams determine KPIs based on the most used content. The authors

highly recommend using “on click” event data through Google Analytics for any library Web site to track performance. Using event tracking can assist in the development of a composite understanding of library Web site users. Once library Web designers have this data-driven understanding, they can start to improve access by creating more direct pathways. Any library can implement event tracking with Google Analytics and then choose similar KPIs to drive users to the content that they want to access.

## About the authors

Amy Vecchione is an Associate Professor at Boise State University Albertsons Library. Amy connects users with information and technology needs. She follows user experience and design thinking strategies to guide web design and develop innovative library services. She leads teams to accomplish strategic goals. Amy believes in digital equality.

Deana Brown is an Assistant Professor and Librarian at Boise State University in Albertsons Library's Web and Emerging Technologies Unit. She is a faculty advisor to the Creative Technologies Association student club and enjoys empowering her community to make, fail, reflect, and reiterate. She is active in groups that relate to library space needs, user experience principles, and investigating the role of makerspaces in education.

Elizabeth Allen is a Web Developer at Boise State University Albertsons Library in the Web and Emergency Technologies Unit. She has integrated web content strategy, user experience and design thinking into every day web management.

Amanda Baschnagel is an undergraduate at Boise State University in the Theatre Arts Department, and a student employee at the Albertsons Library. She is interested in making emerging technology more accessible for those who do not consider themselves tech savvy.

## References

- Barba, Ian, Ryan Cassidy, Esther De Leon, and B. Justin Williams. 2013. “Web analytics reveal user behavior: TTU libraries’ experience with Google Analytics.” *Journal of Web Librarianship* 7 (4):389–400. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2013.82899>.
- Betty, Paul. 2009. “Assessing homegrown library collections: Using Google Analytics to track use of screencasts and flash-based learning objects.” *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship* 21 (1):75. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19411260902858631>.
- Black, Elizabeth. 2009. “Web analytics: A picture of the academic library web site user.” *Journal of Web Librarianship* 3 (1):3–14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19322900802660292>.
- Buenadicha Mateos, María, Antonio Chamorro Mera, Francisco Javier Miranda González, and Óscar Rodrigo González López. 2001. “A new web assessment index: Spanish universities analysis.” *Internet Research* 11 (3):226–34.
- Fagan, Jody Condit. 2014. “The suitability of web analytics key performance indicators in the academic library environment.” *The Journal of Academic Librarianship* 40 (1):25–34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2013.06.005>.
- Fang, Wei. 2007. “Using Google Analytics for improving library Web site content and design: A case study.” *Library Philosophy and Practice* 9 (2):22.
- Hess, M. Ryan. 2012. “Web analytics: Using evidence for improvement—Über analytics: Customizing Google Analytics to track multiple library platforms.” Paper presented at the Annual Internet Librarian Conference, Monterey, CA, October.

- Google. n.d.a. About the flow visualization reports. <https://support.google.com/analytics/answer/2519986?hl=en>.
- Google. n.d.b. Event tracking. <https://developers.google.com/analytics/devguides/collection/analyticsjs/events>.
- Google. n.d.c. Users flow. <https://support.google.com/analytics/answer/1709395?hl=en>.
- Loftus, Wayne. 2012. "Demonstrating success: Web analytics and continuous improvement." *Journal of Web Librarianship* 6 (1):45–55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2012.651416>.
- Turner, Steven J. 2010. "Website statistics 2.0: Using Google Analytics to measure library website effectiveness." *Technical Services Quarterly* 27 (3):261–278. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07317131003765910>.
- Yang, Le., and Joy. M. Perrin. 2014. "Tutorials on Google Analytics: How to craft a web analytics report for a library web site." *Journal of Web Librarianship* 8 (4):404–17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2014.944296>.